

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into the Operations and Practices of Wine & Roses Limousine Service, a California Corporation, doing business as AA Limousine, AAA Limousine, Espresso Limousine, Espresso Transportation, AAA Corporate Limousines, Total Transportation Network (TTN), and LaGrande Affaire (PSG-12361-P-B), and its President, Steve Bonner, to Determine Whether They Have Violated the Laws, Rules, and Regulations Governing the Manner in Which Charter-Party Carriers Conduct Operations and Whether They are Fit to Continue to Conduct Passenger Transportation Service.

Investigation 05-06-042
(Filed June 30, 2005)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
GRANTING MOTION AND DIRECTING FURTHER INVESTIGATION**

On November 21, 2005, the Assigned Commissioner and I convened a prehearing conference to consider further procedural steps for evaluating the settlement agreement between the Consumer Protection and Safety Division (CPSD) and respondents. After receiving additional information and testimony, we took the matter under advisement and indicated that a ruling would be forthcoming with any additional procedural steps.

On December 8, 2005, CPSD filed a motion requesting consideration of additional information; specifically, a letter from Michael C. Berman, President of FSB Transportation, Inc. (FSB). In his letter, Mr. Berman indicated that along with two other partners, FSB was "in the process of purchasing Wine and Roses Limousine Service" and he requested a meeting to "discuss the Commission's

expectations, company progress to date, and to demonstrate the ongoing practices of new management.” CPSD stated in the motion that it “does not hold an opinion” regarding the proposed acquisition.

For good cause shown, the motion for consideration of additional information is granted. CPSD is directed to investigate the proposed new owners to determine their fitness to hold a Charter Party Carrier permit. The proposed new owners, and respondents, shall cooperate fully in this investigation, and shall prepare a report setting forth their financial and managerial qualifications, regulatory compliance history, and intentions regarding the current owners’ settlement agreement with CPSD. The report shall be filed and served no later than 30 days after the effective date of this ruling. No later than 20 days after filing of the report, CPSD shall file and serve its response to the report indicating whether CPSD supports the proposed transfer of the permit and stating any additional required terms.

Pending resolution of these issues, respondents are prohibited from transferring their Charter Party Carrier permit.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated January 5, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIBETH A. BUSHEY

Maribeth A. Bushey
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Granting Motion and Directing Further Investigation on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated January 5, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JOYCE TOM

Joyce Tom

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.